Meeting Notes Gensler

Project	Cerritos College	Project Number	05.2127.000
Meeting Location	Board Room, Cerritos College	Meeting Date	Fri 10/19/2018
☐ Via Telephone	11110 Alondra Blvd, Norwalk, CA 90650	and/or Time	8:00 AM
Meeting Subject	Facilities Master Plan Subcommittee Meeting #1	File	1MN
Present	Present: Linda Kaufman / Administrative Assistant, Business Services Lyn Laughon / Document Services Technician, IT/Publications Tim Kyllingstad / Senior Accessibility Compliance Specialist Mark Olague / English Instructor Patrick O'Donnell / Director of IT Kristi Blackburn / Dean of Institutional Effectiveness, Research & Planning April Giffin / Faculty Senate President Deborah Bluffington / Administrative Clerk II, Fine Arts & Communication Rick Miranda / Vice President of Academic Affairs Andrew Maz / Music Department Faculty Deb Shepley / Gensler Heidi Hampton / Gensler Darren Adkisson / Gensler		
	Not Present: Felipe Lopez / Vice President of Business Services, Assistant Superintendent David Moore / Director Physical Plant & Construction Services Phil Herrera / Student Representative		t
Distribution	Felipe Lopez, Linda Kaufman		
Prepared by	Heidi Hampton	This is page	1 of 8

Date Issued: 11/12/2018

INTRODUCTION (by Rick Miranda)

The Gensler team will present an update on the Facilities Master Plan (FMP) process by discussing the agenda items below. The Gensler team (Deb, Darren and Heidi) were all present at the Campus Visioning Session and will share the results of that engagement.

- Process & Timeline
- Visioning Summary
- Campus Context
- Existing Conditions
- Next Steps

PURPOSE OF THE MEETING

The Planning Team, led by Deborah Shepley, explained that the purpose of the meeting today is to validate the information presented, bring other items to the attention of the design team for consideration and share any comments/considerations that should be part of the FMP planning process.

In formation

Information

500 South Figueroa Los Angeles CA 90071

rigeriaa et	ontinaca		achisici
Project	Cerritos College	Project Number	05.2127.000
Meeting Date	Fri 10/19/2018 8:00 AM	This is page	2 of 8
tems to be Discus	ssed	Responsible Party	
The project is c Since the last n	ANNING PROCESS currently in the step 2, "Analyze", of the planning process. neeting, the team has collected a lot of information and is in analyzing and documenting.	Information	
Information has	s been gathered in two categories:		
	nditions – analysis of the existing campus site and facilities to terns and to identify issues to be addressed in the FMP		
Educational Mas Blackburn to co addition, Diane	Planning Data – building on the recently completed ster Plan (EMP), the planning team is coordinating with Kristi ellect the key data needed to link the EMP to the FMP. In White and Deb with each of the instructional divisions to and identify implications for facilities planning.		
The online Cam on 10/15/2018 information coll	ERIENCE SURVEY The public structure of the control		
	at an email has been sent out each Monday to the campus encourage participation.		
existing CC cha participation. Ic newspaper, Car	ussed how to distribute the campus survey using various nnels of communication to encourage more widespread deas discussed included, but were not limited to the student nvas, Facebook, Instagram and emailing all faculty to tell their ther faculty to participate.	to groups as survey.	up to coordinate outrea noted regarding the
The design tear that occurred o analyzing the ir and looking for	THE ON-CAMPUS VISIONING SESSION In shared the findings from the On-Campus Visioning Session In 9/20/2018. Over the past month, the design team has been information collected, turning it into a graphic representation patterns. Where sticky dots were used, for example, the ounted each of the dots to generate the analysis.	Information	
attached preser Presentation.pd sized circles are	ummary is a highlight of the findings. Refer also to the ntation PDF "20181019_FMP Task Force Meeting 02-lf" for the graphic summary of the analysis. Where various indicated in the graphics, the size of the circle indicate the ots placed in that area.		
that the such as liked we	IENCE MAP, FAVORITE PLACE –The design team observed be Learning Resource Center (LRC) and other newer buildings be Liberal Arts, etc. are clearly well-liked. The areas that people here spread out over campus and not necessarily focused in one area.	Information	
	ents: Concern was expressed that if this analysis becomes a facing document and people marked a particular space/area	Compare res	ults to on-line survey

500 South Figueroa Los Angeles CA 90071

Project	Cerritos College	Project Number	05.2127.000
Meeting Date	Fri 10/19/2018 8:00 AM	This is page	3 of 8

that is not indicated on the heat map they may wonder if their voice was heard. Another concern was that people were not able to identify the buildings with the 'birds eye campus plan' and that adding labels would be helpful to orient people.

 EXPERIENCE MAP, LEAST FAVORITE PLACE – The design team observed that many of the least favorite places overlap with the favorite places on campus.

<u>Comments</u>: The group desires to know why people chose these places because it is important to properly evaluate. It was noted that the follow-up question of why a place was selected was included in the online survey, and the results will be merged with the on-campus survey results.

• **GETTING TO CAMPUS, MODE OF TRANSPORTATION** – The findings indicate that most people drive alone with a 10-40 minute drive to campus.

<u>Comments</u>: The group wanted to know why this question is relevant to the FMP planning process. The design team explained that the mode of transportation can be used to inform a variety of planning decisions. Considering alternate means of transportation is important in designing welcoming experiences no matter how people arrive to campus – i.e., having adequate parking spaces, functional drop off/pick up areas, proper connection to transit stops, etc. Considering those students who rely on public transit, they may stay on campus between classes and desire more on-campus amenities because they cannot access those that are off-campus.

The group discussed that a car-share drop off/pick up area for services like Uber, Lyft, etc. would be a good addition to campus.

Motorized scooters on campus may prove to be challenging and problematic to students with disabilities to navigate around. Hesitation was expressed about adding motorized scooters (like bird) to campus. The design team noted that scooters could be planned for so there are safe routes that do not interfere with pedestrian only routes. If CC wanted to encourage the use of motorized scooters, bikes, skateboards, etc., proper storage would need to be provided so the devices are secure throughout the day. Encouraging the use of alternate means of transit will also help to offset vehicular gas emissions, which is the single biggest contributor to the greenhouse gas effect. To ascertain what types of cars are being driven to campus (electric, hybrid, etc.), the question could be asked during the upcoming Sustainability Workshop.

 GETTING TO CAMPUS, DRAW YOUR PATH – A strong north/south axis extending from the Alondra side of the campus all the way to Community Ed was observed. Circulation tended to focus around the LRC. This information helps to understand campus activity and can influence where amenity spaces are planned for in the future.

Responsible Party

Compare results to on-line survey

500 South Figueroa Los Angeles CA 90071

Project	Cerritos College	Project Number	05.2127.000
Meeting Date	Fri 10/19/2018 8:00 AM	This is page	4 of 8

Responsible Party

- **TIME ON CAMPUS** The majority of people spend between 4 to 5+ days and between 4 to 6+ hours on campus. Knowing that students spend a significant amount of time on campus is important to know as we explore opportunities to develop the overall campus environment to support collaboration, study and engagement.
- **PLACES TO STUDY/FOCUS** For the majority of students, the LRC was the most popular place on campus to study/focus. For faculty/staff, many indicated the LRC or their office.

Comments: The LRC does not seem like the place that admin go to focus and seems like an outlier.

PLACES TO SOCIALIZE - Most students go to the LRC to socialize. For faculty/staff, the results were spread across a number of buildings on campus, including the LRC, Student Center, Admin Building and Social Science Building, it was noted that these results may be skewed based on who participated in the visioning session that day.

Comments: The librarian staff has complained that the LRC gets too loud because there aren't enough alternate locations to socialize on campus that have a place to sit, power and wifi.

CAMPUS CULTURE – Using a scale of two words (for example 'quiet/active' and 'traditional/contemporary'), participants were asked to indicate where they think CC is today and where they wanted to see CC in the future. Although the words used for the scales were left open to interpretation by the individual, there is a clear correlation in the shifts between the present and future.

The design team explained that the date the FMP is projecting towards is 2030 and that we should reflect on how much culture could shift in this period of 12 years. This thought process could lead to planning spaces that have the qualities that people want CC to be aligned with in the future.

Comments: Considering campus culture as it relates to the needs and expectations of future students, the group reflected on how to best prepare for the next generation of students. Understanding what trends are currently happening in K-12 schools will influence what they expect in their higher-ed learning environments. Kristi discussed an example of how many students are bringing their own devices to campus, which may mean that the technology CC is maintaining and investing in may be outdated in just a few years. Although some technology will be essential, rooms should be built so they are flexible.

The design team noted that K-12 trends – customized learning, project-based learning, etc., - can be discussed at a future meeting at a higher level as it relates to the FMP.

> 500 South Figueroa Los Angeles CA 90071

Project	Cerritos College	Project Number	05.2127.000
Meeting Date	Fri 10/19/2018 8:00 AM	This is page	5 of 8

• **100 IDEAS** – The design team, led by Darren Adkisson, explained that the prompt given to participants for the '100 Ideas' board was to write down ideas on how to make the CC campus a better place – no idea is too crazy. The design team analyzed the ideas and six categories emerged as common themes:

1. STUDENT & CAMPUS LIFE:

- Provide safe places to connect with peers.
 Participants wanted help to overcome anxiety and to feel supported in groups such as LGBTQ and UMOJA.
- More student engagement. Examples included having a parade or festival and presenting a more diverse student voice.
- **Improve social spaces.** Better wifi and more places to socialize specifically in outdoor, shaded areas.
- Clubs & organizations. More physical space for clubs and better awareness of the various opportunities and activities available.

2. INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT-

- More instructional support. Desire for extended office hours to connect to faculty, more lab hours & tutorial support.
- More course offerings & diversity of majors.

3. FOOD & HEALTH -

- · Healthier food options.
- More food venues.
- More variety of food options.
- **Coffee carts & cafes.** These venues also provide areas for students to gather, connect, socialize and study.

4. OPEN SPACE

- More trees & shade. Provides additional opportunities to gather outdoors.
- Recreational activities. Desire for more structured or organized activities outside of the athletics currently offered.
- Relaxation & meditation. Provide places for people to gather in a quiet place as an individual or group. Ideas included a zen garden, meditation area and outdoor yoga classes.
- More green space. Better connection to nature.
- Seating around campus. Desire to improve use of outdoor spaces and to provide more seating options.

<u>Comments</u>: Kristi noted that even with the concrete benches & partially-finished shade structures, these areas are already very well used by students. It was also notes that the quad provides minimal shade and trees planted in the past have not lasted.

Gensler to verify with David Moore if there is an Arborist's report for campus.

500 South Figueroa Los Angeles CA 90071

Responsible Party

Information

Project	Cerritos College	Project Number	05.2127.000
Meeting Date	Fri 10/19/2018 8:00 AM	This is page	6 of 8

Responsible Party

5. CIRCULATION & PARKING -

More parking.

<u>Comments</u>: The current parking count provides plenty of parking spaces and there is always plenty of parking available on campus. However, some of the parking is located far from the center of campus so proximity is most likely the real issue.

- · Free parking.
- Parking structures. The advantage of a parking structure is that stalls are more concentrated and can provide better proximity to campus. The primary disadvantage is that they are very expensive to construct.
- Greater connectivity & accessibility for all modes. If the various modes of transportation were well connected and supported, people might be more encouraged to use them and parking would become even less of an issue.

6. FACILITIES -

More space in adult ed.

<u>Comments</u>: This need could be skewed because many of the faculty/admin that participated were from the Adult Ed program. Kristi noted that the FMP should consider the future for these courses because some of them may be modified/removed.

- Student athlete study hall. The women's track team came to the Visioning Session in full-force to vocalize their desire for a student athlete study hall.

 Comments: Rick noted that there is currently a study hall for athletes, but because it was changed from a lounge/social space to a more formal/quiet study space it is not highly utilized. Student athletes want more of a lounge.
- More study/meeting/conference space. Comments were from both students and faculty/staff.
- **Lounge/nap spaces.** Students are looking for places to slow down and rest.

<u>Comments</u>: Staff used to have a larger lounge with an area for someone to lay down if they were feeling ill. The footprint of the lounge space has been reduced so that this room no longer exists but the need remains.

CONTEXT ANALYSIS

The design team reviewed their analysis of the areas surrounding CC, by looking at the following:

 NEARBY COLLEGES & HIGH SCHOOLS. Where are students also looking at for college options? Where are students coming from and feeding into CC?) Information

500 South Figueroa Los Angeles CA 90071

Agenda C	ontinued		Gensier
Project	Cerritos College	Project Number	05.2127.000
Meeting Date	Fri 10/19/2018 8:00 AM	This is page	7 of 8
Items to be Discu	ssed	Responsible Party	
the ma • ROUTE cars, ra	S TO CAMPUS. Routes included existing and future plans for ail, bus and bike) JSE OF THE SURROUNDING AREA. The majority of the area is	Gensler to u	update map/diagram
EXISTING CA The design tea campus.	MPUS m, led by Heidi Hampton, shared an analysis of the existing	Information	
was bu graphic	evelopment history indicates the decade that each building all and which buildings are currently under construction. The highlights how the campus footprint has expanded over time w several of the original campus buildings are still in use.	,	
Chance Scores versus	lities Conditions Index (FCI) score is developed by the ellor's Office based on a survey that is conducted by their staff are assigned to each building based on the cost to renovate tearing down and replacing. Low scores indicate a building in ondition, and high scores indicate a poor condition.		
	of the FCI scores is helpful when considering a renovation replacement and will be discussed in future meetings.		
Comme made t • •	ents: The group noted that the following updates should be to the plans: BE building was renovated MP building was renovated New Math/Science building is colored incorrectly – should be shown as new (2010)	Gensler to u	update map/diagram per nts noted.
traffic,	ng circulation patterns were studied, including vehicular pick-up and drop-off zones, emergency access, parking lots & rian circulation.		
vehicul may be	ents: There are existing problem areas in the existing lar circulation areas that could be improved. Old Falcon Way e opened when the PAC opens because the speed bumps in the lot are massive and this limits access from 166th Street to with.	the comme	update map/diagram per nts noted.
	us Zoning was illustrated based on the current location of ns. The following uses were included: Instructional Library/Study Student Services Administration		

500 South Figueroa Los Angeles CA 90071

Tel: +1 213.327.3600 Fax: +1 213.327.3601

Athletics Service

Child Development Non-College Use

Project	Cerritos College	Project Number	05.2127.000
Meeting Date	Fri 10/19/2018 8:00 AM	This is page	8 of 8

<u>Comments</u>: The bottom corner of the Social Science building is all IT and should be colored in the Service category. Marketing should also be a separate color in the building. Buildings under construction should also be given a color based on the campus zone in which they will be when construction is finished.

Responsible Party

Gensler to update map/diagram per the comments noted.

NEXT STEPS

The team will move into Step 3 "Frame" and will be working on linking, forecasting & quantifying.

- Diane White will attend the next meeting to discuss the EMP+FMP linkages.
- The results of the on-line campus survey data will be shared at the next meeting.
- The next FMP Subcommittee meeting is scheduled for Wednesday 11/14 from 8:00am-10:00am.

ATTACHMENTS:

o 20181019_FMP Task Force Meeting 02-Presentation.pdf