

>> President Shin Liu: Okay we will start with the meeting at we will start with the closed session and come back at 7:30.

All right. Let's go.

(closed session).

>> I pledge allegiance to the flag to the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

>> President Shin Liu: Roll call.

>> Board President Shin Liu.

>> Here.

>> Board Vice President Marisa Perez.

>> Here.

>> Board Clerk Zurich Lewis.

>> Here.

>> Member Bob Arthur.

>> Present.

>> Member Carmen Avalos.

>> [INAUDIBLE]

>> Member John Paul Drayer is absent. Member Sandra Salazar.

Present. Student Trustee Karen Patron.

>> Here.

>> President Superintendent Fierro.

>> Here.

>> President Shin Liu: Any Board Member like to change the agenda organization? There are only eight. Seeing none. We have comments from the audience and we have Scott Lawson.

>> Thank you Madam Chair and Honorable Trustees. It's kind of interesting to be here because I graduated from this college in 1974. It's a long, long time ago and I want to complement you on the many improvements going on here especially recently. As few of you know I am involved with the City of Bellflower retired off the City Council there and there's a number of people

asking me what is going on with our representative Mr. Drayer and I have what I think and it's an guess, educated guess and I will ask the board and see what answer I get from them and I am interesting for their purpose and for mine what was it about? Was it a censure or a sanctions or something, and what is the effect of that for the constituents in the City of Bellflower and I think it's District 3. Which district are we? Three. So that's my question they can take back to those people.

>> [INAUDIBLE] [Off Mic]

>> President Superintendent Fierro: You can speak what was posted on the agenda essentially if you wish.

>> [Off Mic]

>> Mic, microphone.

>> It's basically is just say we disapprove what Mr. Drayer's action.

>> Okay. So is the consequence of that -- one of the things we heard and I am trying to confirm is he is able to attend the closed sessions?

>> President Shin Liu: I believe, yes.

>> He is able to participate in the closed sessions and we heard he hasn't. All right. Are there some reports or something I can look up? Are they online?

>> President Shin Liu: They will be on the last --

>> Dr. Fierro: Our last board book contains the summary of the investigation.

>> Are there reports or just the summary?

>> Dr. Fierro: It was a report based on the legal firm and there was an investigation and our legal provided a report and that is what is posted online and the resolution of censure.

>> Thank you very much for

your attention.

>> President Shin Liu: Thank you. All right. We're going to move on to report and comments from constituent group. Yeah Michelle.

>> Hi. Good evening. So the Senate we have been back to school. This is the fourth week so the Senate met three times and the couple of highlights of things we did we came to an agreement with the administration over the close size reduction and that is moving forward for 60 classes to reduce down to 48. Those classes that are higher than 60 had no interest in doing that so we didn't promote that and the Chairs were notified and should go going through soon. In addition to that I sent the Trustees the two resolutions that we passed last week which was August 30, and the first resolution -- both resolutions have to do with the class size issue and the first was over curriculum and decisions and according to Ed Code the Senate can go straight to the board and we do. Typically the Office of Academic Affairs places agenda items in Liu of us but we're allowed to do and you have these. I sent them to in your Email. The Faculty President shall place recommendations of the Curriculum Committee for board approval if blocked by Administration and the evidence for that and citations are in the resolution itself. The second resolution are over the clack size work load calculations and we resolved that the Senate recommends the district determine calculations for courses that cannot reasonably receive a reduction due to certain issues and classification issues and those are above the cap and there were

two other resolves and basically the same and I would notice the board and the President of CCFB and I have done that. I noticed the approximate and the board and the CCFB. Thank you.

>> President Shin Liu: Thank you for the report. Any other? All right. No other reports so we're going to go to the Institutional Presentation. Vintage Cerritos.

>> Dr. Fierro: So basically our conversation last board meeting we invited Mr. Doug Yeoman from Parker and Covert to give us an view of the history of the lease that we have Vintage Cerritos and the rights that we have and the ones that we don't, and how the process works every time there is a change on the person holding it is lease of the business, so thank you very much for being here this evening.

>> Okay. Thank you so much for inviting me and I provided kind of a memo that highlights the points that I think are most salient considering the terms in the ground lease and the sublease as well as the rights the district has with respect to right of first refusal and early termination that is of something that the district at the appropriate time would want to consider but just to back up briefly I wasn't sure exactly where I needed to focus on this, but this all resulted obviously back in 2000 and I think Bob Arthur is the only Trustee was on the board at that point and time, and the Redevelopment Agency came to the district and wanted to find a place for a senior congregate care because it had required mandatory set aside funds that either they needed to expend it or they could potential lose those funds so they solicited interest from

potential senior congregate facilities and Chancellor Village was successful so the district entered into a ground lease with the Cerritos Vintage Cerritos and under the code and authorizes the district to sell or dispose or sell property that not needed for school buildings so in conjunction with that we went to the Division of State Architect and tried to determine and make sure the facility didn't have to comply with the rule 21 rules that would generally govern if you had classrooms in building and we had a letter given to the district saying no that is not the case. The district is exempt. This facility would be exempt from the field act and the reason it became an issue there is a section in of the sublease that authorizes and envisions a partnership between the district and whoever is operating the facility to incorporate different educational functions with student interns that can assist over at the facility and there were 20 different categories that were set forth, and envisioned that before each semester that the parties would get together and see what opportunities may exist since it's on the college campus, and it's because of that that's the one of the main reasons we went to the Division of State Architect with that kind of influence would that jeopardize or would that make the facility subject to the field act and they said no. It's still incidental to the primary purpose of the facility and would not trigger the field act so that's kind of important as it relate to the district's utilization of the facility at the expiration of the term or

sooner should it exercise the right of early termination. So with that in mind the parties entered into the ground lease, the sublease, and April 6, 2002 was the commencement date for rent so rent should have paid to the district from that point for the present and for the balance. It started at 330,000 annually for the base rent and there was a provision for the gross rent once 90% of the facility was occupied so with that I kind of set forth most recently the base rent was \$380,268 and through ending August 16, 154,764 was paid in participation rent for the district received \$535,000 in the 12 month period and from information provided by Ali over the eight years the district received in excess of \$4 million in base rent and participation rent. Now, if nothing changes then this particular sublease will go on to October 14, 2042. Now, at the time that this most recent, what's called a 30 party transfer request was submitted for the actual sale of the asset, the city had to take the lead as there was a reserve power under the ground lease where they would do the due diligence work on well tower which they did and I kind of put in a summary of the financials for well tower and make a long story short their financials are far in excess of the current ownership of the facility because they have assets around \$25 billion. And there's no mortgage at all so that disappears upon the close of escrow. They're paying all cash. And so that simplifies it in some respects and so when the August 17, when the estoppel consent was brought to the board it was more as something that was required after the due diligence of the city knowing

that the city was also going to be approving it, so it was brought to the board for approval. Basically the well tower then takes subject to all the current terms of the ground lease and sublease so there are no modifications of either document so the same terms that applied for the last 17 years would still apply for the balance of the term that they own it. Now there were opportunities because there's been questions about what are the districts right in the event that you wanted to either terminate it prior to the expiration of the 42 -- 40 year term. I should probably say initially when -- because I was part of the negotiating team when this was first put together way back when and there's a lot of discussion on just the 40 year term of being fairly short for these kinds of projects, and for trying to get a mortgage to allow this to go forward. What obviously made it helpful the city contributed \$9 million of set aside funds to make what would be probably just an average senior congregate care into a well needed and high quality and the city always want the that and the district was amenable to that also, so the right of first refusal that I talked about on page three that kicks anytime you have a third party transfer request, and but what it does mean is that yes the district would have the right and have the right this time, but the underlying -- the district would have to let them know within 30 days whether they would agree to acquire it upon the same terms and conditions in the transfer of notice. As you recall the transfer of notice for \$31 million so unless the district wanted to pay

\$31 million and continue it as a congregate facility it really was not a viable option which then brings us to okay what is the next opportunity or opportunities should the district want to exercise the right? And that gets into on page four the right of early termination. The right of early termination is available at years 20, 25, 30 and 35. And to exercise any of those rights of early termination the district has to give the then subleasee owner at least three years advance notice of its desire. 60 months prior to that three year window the district would have to sit time and at this time it's probably well tower. They're supposed to be closing the tower no later than the 15th but delayed because they're doing their due diligence but the other approvals have been secured and to determine the points of the sublease so I tried to indicate what little I know what financial information what that might mean to the college so in year 20 if you were the one given is that the district is required to pay the un un amortized values of improvement as determined based on the table included in the sublease. Based upon that the value is 3.5 million after 20 years and a given per the terms right now. Second is the adjusted net cash flow, and that's the look at past three years and then you look to see basically what are the gross rents minus operating costs minus any other expenses they would typically have, and I don't know what that is but since the participation was two half percent of gross rents that means 100% of gross receipts is excess of \$6 million and with the three and a half -- so with

that three and a half if they paid approximately \$535,000 in rent so about five and a half million dollars give or take and then depending on what the operating costs might be -- I don't know if it's 2 million, 3 million. I don't know and it would be different from well tower with the current owner in any event but I use for purposes and say the adjust net cash flow is \$2 million a year. Well, by the calculation the adjusted cash flow times five so that would be \$10 million. And then it's required to give a reaction assistance is to the tenants and \$2,000 per unit and that escalates at the same rate as the rent and everything else which means more than 10% or -- not less than 10% or more than 15% so when you do that it potentially could be another half million dollars for the reelection benefits. The last element is something the district would be gaining through this transaction because otherwise it would be the outstanding balance of the mortgage, and year 20 under the existing sublease there would still be another ten years of the mortgage since well tower is paying cash that is not a factor. So that's why I indicated probably the range is anywhere from 9 million to 15 million, somewhere in that range would be the cost to actually exercise the early termination at year 20. You can again as I said before every five years you can exercise it, so obviously it's going to be less expensive as you get near to the expiration of the term. So I put options. You know this would be upon expiration of the term if you let it go all the way to 2042. If you wanted to use the existing facility for

educational classroom -- remember at the end of the term the district gets the facility as is condition without liens or anything so you own it and that's an advantage if you do the early termination you keep the facility. Then you also incur all the expenses of owning the facility which you don't have now and it's an added expense unless the thought is to terminate, demolish the facility and build somewhere else but if there's a desire to use the facility for educational purposes at the expiration then the district would have to comply with whatever Title 24 Field Act requirements are at that time and I'm not -- who knows. I mean that could be cost prohibitive depending exactly what they're requiring. If you wanted to use it as continuation of the congregate care the sublease provides that if that is the desire of the district you would have to give six months notice prior to the expiration of the district's desire and then have a 90 day negotiation exclusion with the leasee to continue. And then the third option would be to demolish and construct new facilities. Now, if that were the case then you just had the additional costs of them so and the five and a half acres that could be used however the district wants, so that's kind of the short synopsis of what I thought would probably be the salient points from what I was told but I am fairly up to speed on most all the terms of the agreements so if anyone has specific questions I will try to address those.

11

>> If we exercise the right of early termination do we have the right to exercise one of those options as well to use it for

educational classrooms? So for example if we were to say at the end of the 20 year lease we then want to take over that property and then we can build it on our own without the exercise of an option?

>> If you terminate early one, you couldn't continue it as a congregate care and I put that provision in there because you have to give them the opportunity to continue upon the same terms and conditions if you use it within five years after the early termination. If not you need to pay five times the net cash flow but if the desire is we have the early termination and what are we going to do with the building? If you want to use it for educational purposes and that is compliance and the architect and engineers could determine what the amount is. To determine if it's more cost effective to update it and modify it for classroom educational purposes or whether it may be more cost effective just to tear it down so that would be a determination at that point and time.

>> Dr. Fierro: If we were going to continue with the congregate care use is the option here that we will have to sublease it or we can become the owners of the business and have a company run the business for us?

>> That would be the effect if you exercise the early termination then you would own the facility and the responsibility for upon maintaining it and finding an operator is incumbent upon the college so you would be a landlord and a more tangible hands on fashion than clearly you are at this point but yes that's what would happen.

>> Dr. Fierro: Okay. If you

don't mind I have a couple questions submitted by Trustee Drayer and I know you address a couple of the, but if you don't mind repeating them with the question. If the ground lease is broken what are the college's option on the lease? So I think my interpretation is if they do not pay the rent.

13

>> Yeah, that would be a default under the lease because the ground lease is with the city as the sucker of the redevelopment agency and the district so if the sublessee under the sublease determines "I've had enough. I'm just not going to do it" Or they're not maintaining it as required by the terms of the sublease. They're not doing inspections that are required then at that point and time the district gives them notice to cure. If they fail to cure you can terminate. If you terminate the district becomes the owner of the property.

>> Dr. Fierro: Thank you. The next question did they submit a business plan to improve the business of Vintage Cerritos to make sure they pay the rents on a timely manner?

>> They certainly can and with well tower they're under better shape than anyone up to this point and when he started off with Chancellor Village just getting off the ground wasn't an easy thing and it worked and I think under well tower -- the information that has been shared with me seems like it's a very experienced high profile congregate care company that could certainly do a lot with the facility and I think would probably be a better position if the district wanted to try to do something with its educational programs. I think they have the ability and resources to make

that happen and since it's a requirement if the district wants to pull that trigger and would govern benefit to the district and when we were negotiating it was a big deal and one of the big pluses of entering into the deal and a benefit for them and the district to allow student interns to take advantage of some of the programs and get hands on experience.

14

>> Dr. Fierro: Thank you. One more. Did anyone do any special due diligence regarding the ability of the sublease tenant to pay the full ground lease to the college until the end of the lease?

>> Yes, and under the reserve powers of the city under our ground lease they were required to take the lead for approving any third party transfers and part of that required the financials and everything, the well tower and any operating companies they wanted to use to be submitted so that was very closely vetted and I have been working closely during this process with Dennis Roy, one of the City Attorneys to try to make sure that all that is fine, and I just gave a very short summary that as far as my memo, as far as the financial standing of well tower.

>> Dr. Fierro: Thank you.

>> Yeah.

>> I just have one question under the existing agreement if the college decided to upgrade the facilities to meet the field act would that be okay under the current contract or do we have to terminate?

>> You first would have to own the facility to do that. there is no provision require them to update it to that compliance and there wouldn't be any particular reason you want to prematurely

15

and if you does it and say there is no educational program for five years after you required them to do it then you have to again comply with what the field act requirements are at that point and time so it would probably make more sense until you know that's what the district wants to do. Any other questions? Okay.

>> President Shin Liu: Thank you for the presentation.

>> You're very welcome.

>> President Shin Liu: Yeah.

Next Institutional Presentation is Bond Update.

>> Ali.

>> Thank you Dr. Shin Liu, President, members of the board, President Dr. Jose Fierro, members of the community good evening. This is the second -- first of the two bond updates that we provide to the board each year. Last update was back in April. This is the first one for the Fiscal Year '16-17 and then we will have another one in April of 17. The bond presentation is in two part. One is the construction part and then the second part is the finance of Measure CC and Measure G so I will invite David to present the schedule part and that part and I will come back and present the finance part of it. I request board that to hold any questions you may have until David's presentation and then he will answer any question you may have and then I will come back for the finance part. David all yours.

>> Good evening Board of Trustees. I am David Moore Director of Physical Plant and Construction Services. Ali is going to handle the financial spreadsheets and he is charge of that and that is appropriate. I get to I do a visual interpretation of the bond

measure on campus and show you site maps what is accomplished and where we're going and some visual interpretations of some buildings so this slide right here is our existing 2016 campus, and we've got a little legend right here which each building correspond to the appropriate color so this is where we're at right now. The white buildings are buildings largely untouched by the Bond Construction Program. There might have been infrastructure improvements or fire alarms or something like that but largely untouched. The blue represents projects completed and it's quite a completion and a lot of buildings completed and renovations and automatic all of that was done under Measure CC. The purplish color -- can we dim the lights Andrea? Yeah.

>> [INAUDIBLE] [Off Mic]

>> Oh I see so the purple color denotes temporary swing space. They were buildings identified -- as well as space is where you put a person temporarily while you destroy the building or remodel and when you're in a active construction space like this you need this space. BE building which used to be natural science we put quite a bit of capital investment in that modern the building for business education. MP building and the old Liberal Arts building we didn't put as much investment in this but we sprucing it up and moving forward and this is the primary location for program and we will talk about that in a minute. We also added four classrooms over here by the weight room just to ease our burden for some classroom space on campus so that's where we're at today. Right now we got under construction the fine arts

complex and the CIS math. CIS is computer information science. One floor is math and one is computer information so buildings are close to completion. In fact we anticipate them being ready January 2017. This is a rendering view looking from Falcon square from south and the math in the foreground and fine arts in the back. We're going to move the folks during winter break and when they report for classes in January they will report to the new buildings. I'm going to go over some of the interesting details of the buildings. I think you have seen some of the renderings but I want to point out some interest facts about them. This is the fine arts building looking at from the C10 parking lot. It has a really unique metal across the front. The fine arts wanted something different and artistic so the architects gave them this unique metal pattern. The math building although it's a straightforward classroom building the math groups wanted to create a special spot so on the first floor which is the math floor they took one classroom of 800 square feet and made it a math study room so it's pretty much a casual spot where students can gather, maybe do some group studying. It will have casual furniture in there like tables, lounge furniture and counter with stools, and the hope is that there will be some student engagement in there. Perhaps an instructor can do one-on-one tutoring if need be and the patio area outside and serves the same functions and gathering and engagement. That is interesting. We don't have anything designate the for one department so it's pretty unique

spot on campus. The math and CIS building falls under SIM so the telescopes are stored in the PST building right here. They have to drag them out to the outside plaza to do night viewing of telescoping so they requested a storage area on top of the math building. They will store all of the telescopes there and access by elevator and view the stars on top of the building so that is interesting. Also on the slide you can see this garden walk way area. When it was originally designed it was a straightforward sidewalk. We challenged the architect to create something more unique, something that will encourage students to get out there and gather, maybe sit around and discuss their studies of the day or social issues of the day. Also we're hopeful these areas might create a place where an instructor can do outside instruction, maybe a drawing class or a photography class or science people coming from PST and merge Fine Arts and science together, merge the disciplines somehow so that's going to be a nice feature behind the math building a little more than just the basic sidewalk, a place where students and staff can

gather. So those are coming on in January. We're very hopeful as early as December that we start on the next building project. The next building project is the Health and Wellness Complex right here. Now, it's currently still DSA and close to approval and hopefully to bid in October, November. Looking to put construction fences right up at the end of December finals so December 19. So the Health and Wellness Complex is going to be built in two phases and the reason we're doing that is we're

going to leave the existing PE building there. That eliminates the need for costly swing space, lack of rooms, division offices, so this is going to be built in two phases. It will be a three year project. Also on this site map you will see the field house down leer and we will talk about that in a little bit but the field house has been under design. We had budget challenge there is. We are using value engineering working with the user group and doing redesign and hope to get it finalized in the future with the DSA so the Health and Wellness Complex which we hope to start here in December of 2016. It's a very congest the site. There's a lot of space, a lot of buildings going on here so this is phase one right here. That's the largest part of the project.

Construction begins in December 2016. It's a two year job and then we will come over here spending a year building these two buildings. If you look at the site map looking down at it again this is phase one, the new PE education

building, fitness, dance building, a Hall of Fame. Phase two after we move the PE building will be a student health services building, what is called a wellness court and synthetic turf to do outside exercise, outside instruction and a new athletic team. This is something we don't have on campus right now this. Is a building with a series of rooms with common male and female locker rooms and showers and restrooms and small team rooms for the various teams that rotate in and out of there and the two soccer teams, the swim teams, the water polo. There is baseball. We will use that as a team room. This is the new

physical education room looking due west so you're standing the outdoor recreation area. The division offices are up here. On this side on the second story are seven new general purpose classrooms then you have the typical things in a physical education building, weight room, locker rooms, cardio training behind it and more locker rooms behind it. Up on the second floor of the building is an outside deck. You have the division offices here, the classrooms here. We're trying to create an area for student engagement either amongst themselves or the staff and instructors so we created an outdoor area with picnic tables, a place to relax. We are exploring whether we will do shade structure up there and we're looking at that. I don't want to share too many picture pictures of the field house and I think architecturally it might change. It's still going to be a one story building and ticket booths and locker rooms and track and field. It's going to be built on the north side of the stadium. We're pushing and hopeful to get it to DSA and start construction in fall of 17 and occupancy in fall of 18 so after we start the health and wellness construction we have field house and design. We have fine arts complex, CIS math finished. People are occupying it. We're having classes. We're going to tear down the old fine arts. This is in January, February at the latest. We have a new site and we're going to put up the new Performing Arts Center. That's the footprint and what it will look like and forecasting construction to start in June 2018. That's your Performing Arts Center looking north. You can see old burn

night in the background. It won't be there for long and we will knock it down and we will get to that later but that's the Performing Arts Center. We have a nice roadway here and you can drive up in the limo scene and see the performance and we might limit traffic there and take bollards out for the show. This is your new fine arts complex coming online in January of 17. It may look like an ice skating rink but it's not. It's a raised concrete platform over to what is at Burnight Center now where you can impromptu performances of music, dance, whatever moves the artist I guess. This is the front of the Performing Arts Center and looking north from the C-ten parking lot. This is the performing arts lobby, very modern, nice rate created the --

22

created the floor when the existing burn night has. The fine arts plaza, this is the space between the Health and Wellness Complex here -- fine arts complex and the stage here and the raised area in the background we talked about and this will be the view of the Performing Arts Center from Falcon Square looking south. We're going to recreate the grassy amphitheater that we currently have at Burnight and elevated grass area and then we can set up outdoor performances and stage right here for performances such we had the 60th anniversary so as performing arts is being built we're finishing phase one of the health and wellness. At that time we're going to demo the old PE so that we can build the rest of health and wellness. Student health in the front and the team room building in the back. Student health was selected -- this spot was selected for easy

access for ambulances and also considered discrete for students for students that don't want everybody to know they're going to student health. Student health services -- it looks like looking south. This is phase two of the Health and Wellness Complex and these read new seven general classrooms there so once we're completed with the Performing Arts Center, field house is done, performing arts is done we got a pretty big phase of demolition here. The book store and student activity are going have to be demoed. Those folks are going to have to relocate to swing space. Perhaps it would be the MP building. It could be a

23

different scenario but they have to go into temporary quarters for a while. We're going to demo public affairs. They have to also find a temporary home. We're going to demo the Burnight Center and they moved over to the Performing Arts Center. That allows for construction of what is called the Falcon center, the gate way building, the Administration student services building. It's large and houses 14 groups and it's a great way for a opportunity to get financial aid, counseling, student clubs, the book store, on and on, so also you will notice on this slide that health science now is yellow. That is a planned modernization. That is a state funded project or we're hoping for state funds. It's currently in the state awaiting approval. A lot of that depends on the Prop 51 state construction bond, but if we were awarded the monies to modernize health science we will start that approximately at the same time as the Falcon Center. This is 2020 awareness looking at we're looking at here and it

may be earlier but the construction would be 2020. After we do this gate way building we get the demoing the Administration building and allows for construction of the Business Ed Arts building. Big classroom building in the front of campus. You will see here that the Master Plan calls for permanent construction of a Child Development Center and a stand alone Cosmetology building. Once those are constructed the plan calls for we would remove the Child Development Center modules. The

24

plan calls for the demolition of the multi-purpose building and the business Ed building. The reason they're called for demo is to create some outdoor spaces. The old MP area is slated to be a food court garden area, a place where to eat outside, procure food and then there's a series of learning gardens here, here and here. Those are outdoor areas designed towards the instructional spaces that surround them. I have some other things I want to share with you regarding bond construction but I can stop right here because this is the completion of the 2011 Master Plan and I can address questions about the Master Plan if you have any.

>> Thank you very much for the presentation. So I have a couple questions. In regards to Prop 51 you mentioned that if the voters pass Prop 51 the only project would be considered for that is the HSC building?

>> That's not the only project. It's the one we have pending right now. The final plan with the state accepted by the state last year is the health science modernization and have the language arts in the queue and the Falcon Center could be in

the queue as well. They haven't been finalized a final project plan. We will work on those in the next year or the following year but health science would be the first one we want to be funded.

>> Okay. Because I know in the past when talking about Prop 51 and it was a proposition still getting the signatures for the initiative. We didn't take a position on that as a board

because we were concerned that we didn't have a project -- the list was so large and state wide list of construction needs and we weren't sure if the project would be on the list to be funded. Has there been more clarity on that or is it still up in the air?

>> It's always up in the area air. I will say that the health science modernization is a modest request and the Chancellor's Office I believe likes to make sure that all the community colleges have an opportunity and I think we have a good chance of being funded if the bond measure passes because it's the only project in the queue and it's pretty reasonable request. Now if the bond measure passes years down the road the "BELA" is an excellent candidate. It's a big classroom building, meets a lot of the criteria for high school or at the Chancellor's Office.

>> Okay. And then my second question if you could just maybe briefly touch on some of the lead elements that were included. I know we talked about that for health and wellness -- I can't remember the other building but briefly touch about those design elements.

>> Thank you for bringing that up. I overlooked that in the presentation so the board passed a resolution with any building

of excess of \$5 million be lead certified so the first one eligible for that is the Health and Wellness Complex. So any building after that health wellness, field house, Falcon -- they're all lead on some level and the initial calculations we could get lead silver. We might have to make some modifications or more capital investment but it looks very good that lead is attainable and I believe if we get within a few points we should go for it so I am pretty confident we're going to reach that. I also failed to mention that the Performing Arts Center -- I don't know if you noticed there is quite a bit of roof line there. We're putting in all the substructure elements and the infrastructure to put solar arrays on the east side. We're not necessarily going to include the solar panels in the project because there might be an opportunity for utility incentives or CCI to get the panels but we're building the roof you have and putting the infrastructure in place and there will be solar on the top of the building for sure.

>> Great. That's my question and I think it would be great to have the technology ready and solar is expanding and movement into few cells and it's technology we can ingest and the projects are down the road when technology is rapidly changing and the next question is besides the solar panels there is still opportunity on the roof tops and I like the idea of the one building with the meeting area for groups of students to meet. The other thing too I noticed -- a lot of buildings around Los Angeles are doing roof top gardens and another way to of course to reduce heat going down to the buildings and another

place for students to congregate and meeting space and there's a lot of roof tops we're building and interesting to have those ideas discussed within the groups on that and that's another big opportunity for more space for students to meet informally. Thank you. It's very exciting.

27

>> Any other questions regarding the 2011 Master Plan? There's a couple other things they would like to share. Did you have a question Dr. Salazar?

>> Dr. Salazar: I had a quick question. First of all thank you. I think it definitely gives us more in-depth information to what is happening in the future and what we have accomplished as a board and putting the tax dollars put to the best use possible and the opportunity for students to take courses here and thank you for that but my concern is with the ozone layer as you know getting larger and larger my concern is really the shading not just the roof tops but generally across campus and whether or not we have allocated funding in terms of some of our tax dollars there for the bond to be able to do that? I know I see oftentimes I see students under the trees so obviously shading is something we need to be concerned about. Unfortunately many students aren't bringing sun screen to school and think about issues with health and how we protect students that's on my mind.

>> Well, you couldn't have set me up better.

>> Oh yeah.

>> What we have here --

>> I saw that but I didn't it -- for example as you know what I looked at the math building the first thing I thought I would love to sit under that but not under the hot sun and are we

putting umbrellas and for students to enjoy the weather in California and be mindful of the fact that the rays are getting stronger and not weaker.

28

>> Part of lead is shading. You need to shade your building to get your points and that creates outdoor space for shading as well but I want to show you some of the fine arts if I can go backwards if you don't mind. So it's kind of hard to see in this picture but there's a bridge that is built between Fine Arts and Math. Creates natural shading under here. Shading up on the bridge. The outdoor patio has good shading. That's an excellent area right there for shade. It's on the north side of the building which will be in shade most of the year. I think this area will be a micro climate for cool area, and then moving forward right now we are currently bidding our shade structure project on campus. Let me catch up to it. I'm sorry. So we have five shade structures on campus we're going to be constructing. One is at the aquatic center or the pools and never a shade plan and the people in the bleachers baked and we are putting them in and they're nice and architecturally they're beautiful but the goal with the structures was to design something we could replicate anywhere on campus so if we're deemed to want another shade structure say at the soccer field we could build one of these or attach it in conjunction with one of our buildings we're able to do that. this particular one here is going to go right here at the Alondra drop off in front of Administration building. If you have been out here on an afternoon the students don't sit

29

in the benches provided. They migrate to the steps and sit in the shade so the Alondra drop off we wanted to create that opportunity for shade for the students and we also wanted to give the campus entry a little more definition so we're moving the flag pole and two structures on either side and concrete benches and that becomes later. This is a shade structure that is going to go near the library and the MP building but we created these concrete benches that will seat in excess of 30 people. The idea behind this is not only for student gathering or events but perhaps an instructor might want to go out there and do a drawing class or have an outdoor class. You will see that occasionally on campus so we're trying to give them appropriate space so an instructor could instruct their class outdoors but those are examples of the shade structures we're building. There is one going behind health science. That area gets a lot of student traffic year round. Community Ed is very active over there but during the academic year the health science is busy area. A lot of students sit out there in picnic tables so excellent spot for structures is there at health science and another one at Falcon Square. We had an originally location we reassessing. We might move it with the development of the Performing Arts Center. It was looking to get crowded so we might relocate the structure on Falcon Square to get more space and we do recognize the need for shade structures. I think these are elaborate but we need more trees on this campus. We need the sail type shade structure if we can utilize it but we're trying to accommodate the demand

for shade. Moving forward some of the things that happening on campus wide with the bond program is the safety and security improvements. You seen the emergency blue lights on campus. That's the first six and 15 more slated to go in from the C1 parking lot to 166th Street and energy projects and we're moving forward on those. The board approved the EMS projected last couple of meetings ago. That's our energy management system project. We've got a chilled water works we're expanding on campus and bringing the last five buildings for chilled water and including the gym and reap enormous savings. We have a fiber project we're moving on where we will finish pulling single penal code fiber throughout mode fiber mode and for the campus and as unglamorous it is parking lots and they really need some work. We had quite a study done on them. It will take two, three years because we can't dig them up at once but we need to make our parking lots more habitable and you know increase their life for the next 20 years or so. Signage. We have a project going on right now on campus and give us a presence that we didn't have the frontage road s and the reason I put it up there and we need to be diligent of keeping it current and buildings and moving programs around and if we're not diligent and upgrade the signing it's going to be obsolete. We talked about the health science modernization. We're hopeful for the FPP approved by the Chancellor's Office. The Falcon Center, very large building, a lot of user groups including the board room, book store, student services, foundations on offices. We're looking at a

possible architect selection next summer. Tentative construction is next summer and occupancy in 2022 and the Business Education/Language Arts is starting in 2024 with occupancy in 2024. I just wanted to share those things with you. We updated our current 2011 facility master site plan to reflect the current known footprints of our buildings and leaving our future buildings on there. That's all I have to share with you board. Is there any questions?

>> I had a question. Can you go over how the Hall of Fame wing came about please?

>> [INAUDIBLE] [Off Mic] I have a little packet on the Hall of Fame so we're following the 2011 Facilities Master Plan which was developed through shared governance. There was first a committee I think it was the campus committee on transformation and then a Facilities Master Plan committee, and the Hall of Fame was actually identified in the 2011 facility Master Plan. You see it there I think it's highlighted. What has been designed is a little smaller than the square footage of this Master Plan. And then the second page of the handout has some facts about the proposed

Hall of Fame. So in essence I guess we're following the Master Plan.

>> You could you discuss a little bit the purpose of why we think the Hall of Fame wing is necessary?

>> Sure. My division does all the event scheduling on campus so when you have Dr. Fierro's K-12 partnership or foster kinship fair they contact our office and we reserve a space. Now, many, many times when we have a group around 90 to 100

we're using the student center stage. I am sure you have been up there and not it's the greatest spot for a gathering like that. we typically will have to close down the student center to students because we don't want the disruption or noise. I think this Hall of Fame which will seat 110 people in a roundtable fashion or 175 in a lecture fashion I think that will alleviate the need to use the student center for our program space and also gives a lot of opportunity for foundation fundraising. There's a whole corporate events. We can do instruction in there. It has all the elements of a smart room. We could do our small graduations like E-ops graduation, link graduations. There's a number of events we can have in this facility.

>> Dr. Fierro: To add to that and don't read too much into it because I haven't discussed this too much at large. As I have been working on the cities and business trying to develop a plan to how can we better serve and develop corporate training programs at the institution or actually expand them we don't really have a business center or a conference center on campus. We have a couple of classrooms that we use. The automotive partners and then obviously the student center, and sometimes regular teaching classrooms when they're open so one of the things we're visualizing with this room and I haven't discussed this much in public so please do not read much into it is the structure is the structure, but through working the internal structure to movable walls in which the building can be used as a four, five smaller classroom spaces that could be used for corporate

training, community partnerships, so essentially expand our relations within the community and the local businesses. That is part of the purposing that I am seeing as well for this building. This will require probably a little conversation because you have the movable walls it will require a different internal organization of that building, but there will be easily four or five smaller spaces that could put together there as a small conference center or corporate training for, for rent space as well if we're looking into generating additional revenue out of the room.

>> Yeah, I do like that idea.

My concern is to have this open space and if we know that some of the events are seasonal, graduation, May, June and what is going to happen on the off months when you don't have that many events and is it open space and not utilized? I am thinking we have 600 part time faculty and 300 full time faculty. The

600 part time faculty on campus don't have a face for office hour -- space for office hours and not all of them so I see a critical need for space for that one-on-one with the students. No one wants meet with the teacher out in the open and I don't know where they meet to be honest. I am sure there are those that don't have a place to meet and my question is because we have the chosen part time out number our full time what are we doing to address that need when we know it's a sensitive topic for the student to ask for help for example office hours?

>> There are office hours allocated most buildings and offices shared space and as I said I haven't discussed the idea of using that as an

extension for corporate training but if we do that building will be used constantly, not only for our purposes of contract training but the purpose of renting the space for others to use so it will generate revenue when we're not using it. I mean if there is a need or intention of building office in the building obviously that will have to -- one, be discussed and we will have to budget it differently because the internal structure for offices will be very different and the current structure and the structure of just movable walls. Even the structure of movable walls will potentially increase the cost unless we reduce the other things in the building.

>> No, I like the idea of it brings revenue and bringing the community on board or business, whatever the case is. My concern was more of what percentage of the time will this building -- sorry this space be empty?

35

>> My guess based on current rentals and David correct me if I am wrong we struggle to rent the space because we don't have empty spaces essentially so sometimes when community members want space it is not available on these days and based on the construction and obviously running shorter in space or tight in space but we don't have a lot of rental space. Is that correct?

>> Well yeah. Unfortunately we get a lot of requests for classrooms and they're during the academic year and this campus is just too busy to accommodate a lot of those needs but we get requests from tax preparers at tax time and train staff. We get requests from local law enforcement for Sheriffs training and it's not

always a good time for us so I believe that if you build an open space like that that it's going to get used and by more than the campus, and it's very flexible. It's really basically a shell of a building. You can do almost anything you want to anything so I think there will be a lot of opportunity and interest to use the facility. It won't sit idle.

>> Okay. Last question. So it's safe to say that all part time faculty have a space to conduct their office hours?

>> Dr. Fierro: I will probably say it is not safe to say that they could have access if they request the access to a shared office. Whether they have allocated space at any given time I don't think that would be accurate.

36

>> Are we doing anything to address that because that ties into student success?

>> If I can Dr. Salazar.

>> I will tell you that the new buildings and health and wellness has room for adjunct faculty. Whenever we go into the new buildings now we talk to the Deans and user and deed a space for adjunct and we have room for them. Number wise I'm not sure we do what we can but we're mindful of that and trying to create that space.

>> Okay. Thank you.

>> Now I guess I get to turn it over to the money man. He's going to tell you how we're going to finance all this.

>> Thank you David. He did all the heavy lifting. Now I need to provide the numbers great presentation. A lot of good work and how are we going to pay for it if and thanks to the community for approving two measure. One was Measure CC back in 2002 and then Measure G in 2012. The first one, the

Measure CC, -- let me see.  
[INAUDIBLE] okay. Got it. So this is on the website. All right. I will try to present. So basically Measure CC back in 2002 approved for \$210 million. And in next 18 months we will be wrapping up Measure CC. Let major project that is in the CC currently right now is the CIS map which is slated to complete January 2017 and then there are a couple of other project landscaping and wayed finding so within the next 18 months we will close down the Measure CC. The summary of the Measure CC is basically that we received from the state funding about \$3.203 million in the state funding and one point -- about 6 million through other funds and the settlements, construction settlements and the CC itself generated another \$10 million in interest, so 210 was the original amount. The total right now we are projecting is about 221 million including the interest, so total will be under the Measure CC is \$254 million and next 18 months we will be closing down CC and moving on to the Measure G. On the Measure G approved for \$350 million the round budget on the -- we should -- the bond approved for \$350 million and reach \$100 million in 2014 and at this time through June 30 we have a balance of about \$43 million. We will be going out for additional \$100 million some time in July of 2017. We will come to the board some time in May of 2017 requesting for additional funding. Based on our cash flow right now we will be using another about \$26 million in 2017. That will leave us a balance of about \$18 million and we will issuing another one. As you can see all the construction project going

forward. Now there are three projects that are slated for PLA, and those are the Number 3 health science, Number 8 for health and wellness complex, and the field house. Those are the three projects slated for PLA. And the health and science project is also hoping that we will get the \$7.9 million in state funding and as you can see that there are Falcon Center we are hoping we will get \$25 million and the \$18 million on the business Ed and \$7.5 million for the Child Development Center so all in all we are hoping that we will get about \$58 million in the state funding. Now, granted that this is all based on receiving state funding. The total project that we have projected and this is from land time we updated in April and we haven't updated it but will do in the next presentation which it will be in April of 2017. Based on current round all this projects are for about \$398 million and we have \$350 million approved in the bond measure, so we are hoping that will get the state funding. Now, if the state funding didn't come through we have to make the adjustments. Now all of the projects are from here through 2024 so as we move forward we will make those changes based on whatever the funding is available from the state, and as well as the construction costs. Those are subject to change. The project that are currently in the Measure G is the fine art project which is slated for January 2017, and you have seen all the other project information from David so if you have any questions I will go over it on the number part and this is my basically the presentation on the financial side of it.

>> [INAUDIBLE]

>> All right. Thank you very much. For your presentation. Very informative. In regards to if we're short money so if we're short money because expenses were higher, we didn't get state funding whatever other uncertainties what will we do? We will shift away from certain projects or down scale? When do we have to make the decisions?

39

When is the first critical point? The critical point is when we find out from the state and on Prop 51 or as move forward or there are maybe other opportunities that we get from the state as we move forward. We will be moving forward with the projects that are list the right now. We will come back to the board right now and the board will give us a direction and we will follow those directions.

>> Dr. Fierro: That's a very diplomatic.

>> Right are they based in priority order or how we need to have the money assembled?

>> So the priority order in David's presentations that's how we're going to move. This order is not in the priority order.

>> Okay.

>> We can make it in that order in the future but can you see the same order when we come back in April we can put it in the priority April so you can see.

>> Yeah and nice to know which projects are going to be impacted or the ones to be complete the or down the road and that is helpful for me or some notation.

>> Dr. Fierro: So the projects are listed in the order they should be build and the funding priority is also in that order. One of the main reasons is allow the flow of

construction with minimal interruption as we know so we will give priority to those buildings on funding on the buildings as listed as David presented them. We will get to a couple of decision points and the first one is obviously on Prop 51. If Prop 51 passes that will probably be a good indication we can build in the way we have planned. If it doesn't we will have to reach another decision point which will be continued to fund on the current rate and either don't build what didn't get funded or find an alternative means to fund that and obviously you know the alternative -- what is alternative meaning it to do that? And at that point we have to go out and do a study of what needs to be done and whether or not it's an appropriate time to find an alternative meanings of funding, but I think right now it's premature. I think the conversation will shift a little bit or will not be relevant once we know the result of Prop 51 so vote for Prop 51.

40

>> [INAUDIBLE] [Off Mic]

>> President Shin Liu:  
Anymore questions?

>> I'm sorry. I don't mean to challenge or belabor this point but I actually think that's a big uncertainty that one, the proposition is going to pass first of all and two, and as I am reading this we have based on our budget we have \$50.9 million of state funding that we are assuming we're going to get to complete these projects so I mean that amount out of the total is a big chunk and again I don't mean to disagree but I mean again it is I think a very key point and I think we need to keep that in our mind as we make the decisions going forward because if it doesn't pass we

have to make difficult decisions which a couple years ago we made that decision on Burnight and expecting \$38 million for the state funding. That didn't occur. We used Measure G or --

41

>> G.  
>> Okay. To back fill the project and the only reason that project is continuing as is and on schedule because we had to make that decision and again I think it's something we need to keep in mind that's a key decision point what we'll do if the staid bond doesn't pass and again seems like a lot of money to depend from the state.

>> So we move -- so the Falcon Center. We weren't thinking about Falcon Center being funded by the state so when we realized that we're not going to receive any funding based on our schedule we moved that 37 and then we put it into the Falcon Center of 25 million so we will continue to explore all the options and we will continue to bring information to the board, and you know whether it makes to scale down the project will be decided by the board at that time you know.

>> And you're absolutely correct. \$59 million essentially is the equivalent of one building, so we will be talking about either not moving forward with one building or as I said before looking for alternative revenue to finish that one building. I mean we have the funds for the different projects but if we don't get funded that's the cost of one building and likely -- and don't quote me on this and since the size is smaller and one of the last buildings here and I am assuming that the Falcon is much more than the 59 million or essentially keep the Falcon and go to the other end which could

42

be part of the decision point if we don't find alternative funding but more or less we're talking about one building but we're hopeful that 51 passes Bob Arthur: It will be interesting in November Proposition 51 passes. I'm not as overly optimistic as you Marisa it's successful. I am hoping it will but with all many tax issues facing the voters in November --

>> [INAUDIBLE] [Off Mic]

>> Not only confused but angry is the feeling that I am getting. The constituents in California are tired of being taxed and I hope this is one that they see as a worthwhile cause. We will find out in 60 days or so but we will need to know what Plan Bs are, Plan C is for the Master Plan, and that's a heck of a lot of value engineering if it doesn't pass that needs to be accomplished with all of the projects on our plate.

>> Or may be the state may come back with other funding. I know there is pushing from the K-12 regarding the bond and they may issue some bond, you know, so we will see what happens in November, and hope for the best.

>> Carmen Avalos: I'm sorry. I agree with what you just said because it's a state wide bond.

I think people would have it stay local and the concern.

When someone comes to our accomplish and see what we -- campus and see what we accomplished and the beautiful buildings and that's more tangible for a state school that you may never attend and when we think about some of the opportunities I think that the

community can see what we did with the tax dollars and it's coming back in terms of additional classrooms and upgraded technology and

accessibility to more structures for our students just to have that safe space to be on campus. The more on campus the more successful you become because it's more of the everyday experience and when we think what other opportunities there might be we might need to go out to the constituents and ask for additional dollars for the progress and continue moving the college forward. Obviously it's food for thought at this point because we don't not what will happen in November but when you think what is more tangible to the community it's close and you don't want it to go across the state and it's not always allocated in the most equitable manner unfortunately.

>> I guess I just want to comment. I can relate to Ms. Marisa's concern. That's how I feel about the ACC budget. We have 1.3 million. What if Senate doesn't pass it and what do we do then? Let's prepare a safety net. What can we do? We took the measures to kind of protect ourselves both [INAUDIBLE] and prepare ourselves if it doesn't pass we have something to go to and otherwise we will be okay and my recommendation is maybe recommendations to prepare for the hit.

>> Carmen Avalos: A lot more discussion on that. Thank you Ali.

>> Thank you.

>> Dr. Fierro: Thank you.

>> President Shin Liu: That goes next to next item, Item 8 consideration of --

44

>> Dr. Fierro: Yes thank you Dr. Liu. There have been a couple of questions why this item is on the agenda so about seven -- actually nine months ago -- ten, we made the decision to switch to a Study Session

board meeting and start adjusting to become more efficient in our meetings and apparently we're getting somewhere, and as you see this agenda was very light for a Study Session with essentially only one item for your consideration. The next meeting is also a similar meeting to this and as of right now we don't have anything scheduled, so if it is okay with you we could cancel that Study Session and moving to the business meeting that is the second of October as we have the schedule in the past. The other reason I am adding this year and I am doing an accreditation visit that day as well so if you would like to continue to run the meeting obviously I will have someone from my Executive Council work on the meeting but essentially we don't have a lot of items for the Study Session this time around.

>> President Shin Liu: Do you need a vote?

>> [INAUDIBLE] [Off Mic]

>> Okay.

>> Bob Arthur: Interesting that we don't have anything that we need to discuss in the Study Session. My recollection is that the Board Advisory Committee for board policies hasn't met in a very long time and yet it is has been charged with review and preparation of upgrade of several of our board policies and given direction to review these, but the committee hasn't met and is there an opportunity for that committee to meet, begin its process and make a presentation at that meeting? I'm just -- in all of the years I never known the college not to have -- to have to -- or have the opportunity to do postpone or cancel a meeting because there's nothing to

discuss.

>> Dr. Fierro: Well this is a Study Session, and not a business meeting.

>> Bob Arthur: I understand.

>> Dr. Fierro: So the business meeting will be on schedule. We're working on the schedule for our policies that need to be reviewed. Andrea is putting a schedule together and shared with the committee. We can certainly have that but consideration for those traditionally has been during your business meeting. We can put them there. I am simply stating that is done during the business meeting.

>> Bob Arthur: Well, my thought was to bring it forward at the Study Session meeting and have the discussion there on the items with no action and then move the items if it needs to go back to committee and when it's finalized for approval to a business meeting. I thought that was the intent of the Study Sessions were going to be.

>> Dr. Fierro: I mean it is -- there are two options and it makes -- we can make work either. It's just the option is being offered so if you want to consider the option it's fine. If you don't Fine Arts-CIS Math as well -- fine as well. We can certainly schedule a presentation, have the lease for review, or anything needed. No issue with that. It was simply an option.

>> I would agree with Trustee Arthur if we don't have anything scheduled for that day we have that much more time to reflect and discuss upon our board policies, whether or not the Board Policy Committee does in fact meet so there have been a lot of issues that have come before us in the last few months regarding some of our board

policies and I think that it would be best for us to take this time if we have nothing scheduled to -- so to speak reflect on ourselves and reflect on what the policies we have are and what can we change. You know the board Policy Sub-Committee is tasked with researching that you know in further development but it's ultimately a policy that is voted on by the entire board and if we could take this time to so to speak have a full committee on board policy simply to discuss that I think it would be best for our institution to go forward and making sure we not only have efficient meetings but also efficiently run the college as our board policies do in fact go outside of this board room.

>> President Shin Liu: So do we need a motion? You have a question?

>> I'm sorry. Are you proposing that we meet as a board?

>> Yeah.

>> Yes, I would say we meet as a board to discuss some of the issues that come up over the last months regarding some of the board policies so essentially it would be a board Policy Sub-Committee but instead we have all seven of us talking about these issues.

>> I think when we talk about efficiency then why not have the board -- then it defeats the purpose of having a Sub-Committee in my opinion so either we have a Sub-Committee or we're not. For example the last meeting we didn't follow the Sub-Committee's recommendation so the question is do we even need a Sub-Committee and do a Study Session and talk about board policies that way. Maybe we don't need people to invest in

meeting in Sub-Committees. I don't know. That's something -- I don't see the point of meeting. If we need to be efficient we can Email one contact person -- shin are you on the committee? No, you're not.

>> President Shin Liu: On policy? No.

>> I think it's myself and Zurich and Bob and Email Dr. Fierro, here are the concerns and discuss it in Sub-Committee unless we go a different route and have a entire board meeting on policy.

>> I would argue this opportunity comes about and is very rare that we can have a reflection as a whole on the board policies that we have. Normally we have issues that arise that don't give time as a whole board to discuss them for these board policies and that's why we task a Sub-Committee that is essentially a standing committee to discuss the issues and research them at a separate time from the rest of the board and then provide a recommendation to the board which can further discuss it, so now that we have this opportunity as a whole board to directly go after -- whether or not we have a board Sub-Committee before August 4 or 5th we have that time to then hash out the issues that we need to discuss that came up over the last few months on various board policy issues to go forward on.

>> I guess my response to me that seems more of a topic discussed at a board retreat, right, to me, in my opinion and I believe you mentioned because accreditation you will have an accreditation meeting that same day; right? You don't be available and defeats the purpose of giving Dr. Fierro

direction when he's not going to be here or be available. That's my thoughts.

>> Bob Arthur: Why don't we reconsider this since this is a Study Session meeting, and make this an action item at our next meeting for consideration for canceling or postponing the October 5 meeting? It gives you the administration, the rest of the administration a little more opportunity to make a final determination or recommendation to the board of whether or not the Study Session meeting is absolutely necessary and if want fine, and either cancel or postponed or rescheduled. Between now and then but I think it would be advantageous for at least the members that are on the Board Advisory Committee for board policies be given a schedule, at least a preliminary schedule of what the

49

Administration is working on to bring forward information to the committee on the charges that the board has given the Policy Committee to address, and when we can expect your reports to be presented to the committee and when we can schedule the meetings.

>> So I have a question -- I guess you're on the board. When was the last time you guys met?

>> Zurich Lewis: I don't recall the specific date off the top of my head but it's been a few months.

>> Carmen Avalos: Okay. Considering you mentioned there have been several things that come up is there anything can be done prior to the meeting so we can look at those so we don't have 20 in all one shot because if we piecemeal it a little bit it will give us opportunity to really understand which ones you know impact you know our staff and then which ones impact the

board itself. Because I think honestly we will be overwhelmed with ten that we really need to think about if we get them all in one meeting and they're several from what I am hearing tonight.

>> Dr. Fierro: To my knowledge there is not ten. Two, three that were topics of conversation and the other once is just a list we're putting together because according to accreditation we have to make sure we're review our policies and procedures so putting a list together to go over and do a cursory review to determine whether or not they still good and then we bring them to the board and determine yes they're good or not we're going to change them. If they are we update the date and refile.

50

There are three pieces, two or three that were big topics of discussion. On the tip of my head -- Andrea correct me if I am wrong. One had to do again with election of rotation of officers and that was discussed and reviewed at some point last year in October, November and again brought up for conversation. We talked about medical insurance and we had a conversation on that and we're missing --

>> [INAUDIBLE] [Off Mic]  
>> And the Ethics Committee, yeah.

>> But that's an opportunity for the board itself,.

>> Dr. Fierro: Correct.

>> Carmen Avalos: Is there administrative policy or anything else pertaining to staff or anything of that nature? I think there was one that we asked that we reviewed.

>> There was one reviewed by coordinating a while back and that I think was service animals and the board proposed a policy

on service animals and the only one outside of chapter two.

>> Dr. Fierro: And that was approved by coordinating and for board approval essentially.

>> Carmen Avalos: I think if the Board Policy Committee has the opportunity to do something prior to the next meeting that would be great to have something versus waiting just for that and again it's part of the natural process to move some of the stuff forward through the regular meetings so I would rather have that then have one meeting specific to do it in every shot and I believe we should do it every meeting to move policies forward and have folks digest how the change the impact and we should be doing this routinely anyway and that's my recommendation. And again I don't want to take the possible cancellation off the table but we're mindful of the fact we can do it in a different way than just one meeting for that.

51

>> Dr. Fierro: Yeah. So we will finish the schedule and we will give priority to the service animals, but -- well, because that affects the campus at large that we just mentioned are board related and then we will have the schedule all the policies that need to be just review as a part of our procedural review and the policies.

>> Carmen Avalos: Okay. All right. All right. Well --

>> President Shin Liu: So you give us --

>> Dr. Fierro: Yes. We will send an Email before the actual meeting.

>> Carmen Avalos: Wonderful. Great.

>> President Shin Liu. All right. So next is report and comments from the office. Comment.

>> Well, first of all I do apologize for missing the last meeting. You know I had an accident so I wasn't able to literally walk down here so thank you for those that called and wanted to know how I was doing. I am recuperating completely but better and I wanted to touch on what the K-12 districts are experiencing in terms of the new CAASPP percentages and I took a look at the college and the scores were not stellar to see the least and in some more than others and as we move forward with the K-12 programs and the bridge programs across the districts we really look how we can collaborate in terms of best practices and having perhaps conferences on campus that brings the diverse educational community to look at what's working, what we need to change because at the end of the day we're the pipeline for many families in the community so I would really like to have those conversations and dialogue started because this is the first time we're able to see the differences. Some call it the CAASPP or SBAC and it's the standardized testing and we used to have the API and gave you a score up to a thousand and anything over 800 was a great score and anything never 950 was an exemplary school and that is gone and we have the new form and based on nationwide and many of the area schools received in many cases right around 50% so I think you know we need to be working on how we can support our K-12 districts and certainly offer possible resources in terms of knowing or helping them structure some of the curriculum that really helps in terms of the Common Core and truthfully I think addresses some of the deficiencies that our students

have in terms of accessibility to just more resources quite frankly but anyway that to me as an educator, as a teacher was something I am constantly looking at because many of our students do come to our school for additional resources and really in some cases for enrichment but just looking at our scores -- I mean 48% for some schools and you look across the state and you know the areas that are more affluent have done better so I think when we address issues of concern and equity we certainly need to look at the scores how we can help our partners help close the gap in terms of deficiencies so that would be my only comment but other than that everything is great. Election is moving forward. We are in election season as many of you know so that's about it. Thank you.

53

>> Bob Arthur: Thank you Dr. Liu. Since the last meeting I represented the college at events and chamber meetings and grand openings and activities of that nature. I also had the pleasure of being since I sit on the college's Foundation Board Steve Richardson and Dr. Fierro last week -- last week? Yeah, last week had scheduled with the consultants that the foundation hire and the college we agreed to on the campaign for fundraising for Cerritos Complete and it was the initial meetings that the foundation members and members of the Administration I believe and I'm not sure who all sat in on the meetings. I was in one of the meetings and several over a two day period. With the initial meetings with the Foundation Board and these consultants for looking at the original or the parameters and ideas of what and who and how much we should

outreach to our corporate partners and the community to support Cerritos Complete so I am looking Ford to what the reports are from the consultants

54

and how we're going to be successful in this campaign. It's going to be a chore I am sure. Thank you. Oh one -- our Cerritos College men's soccer team had their annual alumni mens soccer team game last -- ten days ago I think, weekend before last, and not only were the alumni players that showed up outstanding soccer players but the mens soccer team for this coming season they look like they were mid-season form. I mean they beat the alumni 3-1 I think was the score. I can't remember, but boy these guys were up and down the field and offense and defense and it's going to be an exciting season. Thank you.

>> Zurich Lewis: First thing I want to say I invite you guys to go to the Culinary Arts Falcon restaurant to try a Taste of Morocco and includes roasted leg of lamb with garlic and pecan baklava with honey ice cream as a dessert. I am sure I will see you there I am sure.

>> [INAUDIBLE] [Off Mic]  
>> It's a five course meal \$15 and I can't commend the Culinary Arts programs enough for everything that they do in putting out amazing food at very cheap prices and I keep telling everyone in the Rotary Club and La Mirada you need to come to Cerritos and try our food. It's a great deal for an amazing price and it's just good food made by good people that are part of our community and they care and want to be able to impact us so thank you to the Culinary Arts for coming back and putting on the great show so to speak. Second thing I want

to say is that a couple weeks ago the State Assembly considered AB 2888 also known as the Brock Turner bill which will put in a mandatory minimum sentencing of three years for those who rape people who are unconscious or otherwise intoxicated. When the State Assembly considered that bill there was only one person that voted against that bill and was our Assemblywoman Christina Garcia and I don't want to criticize her but commend her per the position that is consistent with the position of mandatory minimum sentencing throughout the career and many progressives believe it's overcrowding the prisons and creating problems and may be discriminatory to minorities and Christina Garcia was the only one to vote on it because she doesn't believe in minimum mandatory sentencing as something nationwide as the Brock Turner issue and I want to commend her for standing on the principles for this and staying consistent with voting against that bill and for supporting Proposition 57 which was increase the amount of parole for those who are non violent offenders which rape itself and those that voted for the mandatory minimum sentencing in this bill can be said to be ironic so to speak so I want to commend Christina Garcia even though I don't agree with the position I want to commend her for standing on the principles especially as Chair of the womens' caucus in the Assembly and being a prominent Democrat in our area so thank you for that and that concludes my report.

55

>> Dr. Fierro: So football game first one is this Saturday so if you're free come and

56

support our football team.  
Apparently our opposing team --  
I don't want to say their name  
-- is bringing a lot of students  
so come and support our team and  
let's get more students from  
Cerritos College in our stadium.  
Other than that the semester  
continues to go well so thank  
you to all the staff and faculty  
for making that happen and  
making sure the students have  
what they need. Thank you.  
>> Thank you everybody for  
being here tonight. I attended  
Congresswoman Linda Sanchez  
Equality Breakfast and heard  
from her sister Loretta Sanchez  
and interesting to hear what she  
doing in Washington, D.C. as  
well as our district. I had a  
good meeting with Councilmember  
Hank Trimbel and Hawaiian  
Gardens and offering money for  
Cerritos Complete and Dr. Fierro  
and I are setting up meetings to  
be a long-term partner and  
efforts especially for the  
Hawaiian Gardens students that  
go to Artesia High School and  
ABC Unified and we also have the  
fun run that the city produces  
to promote healthy school  
students and there's also  
donations that are given to the  
schools that bring out the most  
students so I wanted to let  
anybody come to participate in  
that event as well. In regards  
to what is going on in Lakewood  
like many of the cities we are  
having a September 11 upon  
commemoration there and at  
[INAUDIBLE] park and so if  
you're in the community and come  
for that event everyone is  
welcome. I always had a meeting  
last week with the California  
student vote project and I pass  
that information along to Dr.  
Fierro and Dr. Johnson and they  
have really exciting initiative  
that is being championed by  
Secretary of State Alex Padilla,

the Lieutenant Governor, Asian American caucus -- I think it's Asian law caucus and common cause and they're trying to work state wide between the CSU and UCs and the community college students to encourage them to vote and through the portals and also the other suggestion they had was Dr. Fierro or somebody else from the Administration to send out a campus wide Email to students reminding them of all the key dates coming up between registering to vote, the deadline for that and absentee ballot and changing the status to perform absentee and exciting initiative and signs MOUs throughout the state and seeing if the college can participate in that and other than that everything is going well and good that everything is going well with the semester thank you so much so.

>> No report.

>> So I just wanted to thank Bob Arthur for attending the info day and tomorrow the day one is tomorrow and it was a good turn out and happy to see students and clubs there. We had an in and out truck and had students there and that's it.

>> All right. No report. So adjourned.